# **Draft Fulbourn Village Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

# Consultation Statement and Proposed Changes December 2019

## 1. Background

South Cambridgeshire District Council as the Local Planning Authority developed the draft SPD in collaboration with the local community and other stakeholders since autumn 2018. The Fulbourn Village Design Guide SPD has been prepared to assist in delivering the objectives as set out in Policy HQ/1: High Quality Design of the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 as well as other related policies.

This consultation statement has been prepared in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Plan) (England) Regulations 2012. Regulation 12 requires that SCDC prepare a consultation statement setting out the persons consulted when preparing the SPD, a summary of the main issues raised by those persons and how these have been addressed in the SPD.

# 2. Preparation of the draft SPD

The District Council as the Local Planning Authority contracted specialist consultants to work constructively with the local community and other stakeholders, through a series of workshops and events, during the autumn of 2018. A project champion was nominated by the village community and a community steering group was assembled comprising community members representing different interest groups, including the parish council.

Initial workshops were held with the steering group and other members of the local community to allow the community to voice their perceptions of the character of the village, and their priorities for design guidance to be included in the draft SPD. This input and how it is reflected in the document is captured in the Community Input section of the SPD (Chapter 3).

Further workshops and review sessions were held in early 2019 with the community steering group on drafts of the SPD to gain feedback on the emerging guidance and to ensure that it reflected community priorities and a consensus view.

Workshops were also held by SCDC with officers from the development management (planning) team as key users for the SPD. This has helped to shape the form and content of the draft SPD. The emerging draft SPD was further reviewed by a nominated senior officer from the development management team to comment in more detail on its structure and content from a user perspective.

The draft SPD sought to incorporate this feedback constructively and to balance the priorities and views of the village community with the requirements of a useful and robust policy document.

#### 3. Consultation on the draft SPD

A public consultation on the draft Fulbourn Village Design Guide SPD was held for 6 weeks between the 15<sup>th</sup> April 2019 and 31 May 2019. The proposed modifications address the issues raised by the consultation responses. Consultation on the SPD was undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement adopted in 2010.

As the draft SPD supports the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, there was no further need to undertake a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment or Habitats Regulations Assessment for this document, although screening reports were completed and made available during the consultation. An Equalities Impact Assessment was also completed and made available during the consultation.

The draft SPD and other supporting documents were available for inspection during the consultation period at the following locations:

- online on the Council's website;
- at South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA;
   and
- at a public exhibition at The Swifts Meeting Hall on 25 April 2019 from 3.30-8pm, when officers were on hand to answer questions.

Comments could be made using:

- the online consultation system: <a href="https://scambs.jdi-consult.net/localplan/">https://scambs.jdi-consult.net/localplan/</a>; or
- by completing the consultation response form and either emailing or posting it to us at <u>vds@scambs.gov.uk</u> or South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA.

The SPD consultation was advertised via a public notice in the Cambridge News on 10 April 2019, and on the Council's website and social media.

#### 4. Consultees

A list of the organisations who were directly notified of the draft Fulbourn Village Design Guide (SPD) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) via email, or post where no email address was available, can be found as Appendix A. It should be noted that other individuals were also contacted that do not appear on this list.

## 5. Consultation Outcome / Key Issues Raised

During the consultation, 45 representations were received, made by 30 respondents. Of the representations 31% of representations were supports, 9% were objections, and 60% were comments.

49 people visited the public exhibition where the main topics of discussion were:

- Scale of new buildings to sustain a rural character
- Mix of dwelling sizes and a social mix are required including bungalows
- Quality of public realm on High Street and within new developments management of parking and creating places for residents to enjoy
- Trees and wildlife

The following series of tables identifies the written representations received to each part of the SPD, summarises the main issues raised, provides a Council assessment of the issues and where necessary what proposed modifications to the SPD are indicated.

1. Introduction (including general (not chapter specific) representations)					
Representations	Support: 10	Object: 0	Comment: 6	Total: 13	
Received		•			
Main issues in	ues in Support				
reps	Well presented, successfully identifies the distinctive				
67789	character of Fulbourn and gives a clear indication of the				

67905

- design approaches required to develop taking account of this distinctive village character. Also the importance of nature and biodiversity to our village is well documented and I believe is most important in any future development.
- Support the avoidance of 3 storey buildings particularly on those visible from major/through roads. Did not see suggestion for solution of keeping village centre shops 'alive' vs problem of parking (no throughway at times). Does not address poor quality 'factory' units in Cox's Drove. Car parking in streets (particularly near businesses e.g. Home Close) an increasing safety and aesthetic issue and not addressed
- Looks carefully considered and suitable for this village.
   Maintaining distinct village character is key, whilst also acknowledging that more housing is needed in the future.
- Useful details of points to consider in any development of change. Good to know how much thought has gone into preserving our lovely village.
- It is important that the historical and environmental characteristics are maintained and improved. The document is an excellent record of our village.
- Important for village so we can influence future planning whilst retaining many features for future generations
- Cambridge Past Present and Future Support the village SPDS. Green infrastructure is important and the principle of retaining or enhancing the connectivity of habitats is incorporated. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
- BPHA Affordable housing should be addressed in the documents in terms of the approach to meeting specific housing needs with regard to density parameters. VDGs should strike a balance between innovation and following a rigid design approach with reference to Modern Methods of Construction. Strongly support improvements being made to the public realm to contribute towards the viability of local service provision within village centres. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
- Countryside UK Countryside are broadly supportive of the intentions of the Fulbourn Design Guide to guide future development and have suggested comments on the content of the document as set out in the attached supporting letter.

# Object - None

# Comment

 Fulbourn would be a tranquil and picturesque place to live were it not for traffic and parked vehicles. Much could be done to make the High Street area safer, quieter and more attractive as suggested in Chapter 8. 'The winding loop formed by Cow Lane and Pierce Lane' (Chapter 7) needs to be considered in its entirety so that improvement in one area do not have a detrimental effect in other areas.

- Particularly like the visual aspects, the privacy for houses, and hidden utilities, plenty of thought for parking and open views to fields. Any expansion of homes though would need equal expansion of business, social and recreation areas, infrastructure, places to work and attend school also.
- Concern that too many houses would lead to local facilities e.g. school being too small. Do like the design of street and paths spacing with hedging separating pedestrians from the cars.
- Forestry Commission Tree species choice needs to be considered re climate change [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
- Natural England SPDs could consider making provision for green infrastructure, wildlife development and enhance character and local distinctiveness through green infrastructure and contact with nature. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
- Cambridgeshire Police Secured by Design can be achieved, developers should seek advice at an early stage from the Designing Out Crime Officer. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]
- Sport England Supports the development of safe pedestrian and cycle routes through all new development, reference should be widened to refer to opportunities for all types of formal and informal sport and physical activity. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]

# Council's Assessment

Welcome support

Expansion of business, social infrastructure and facilities: The Village Design Guide is not a plan-making document and allocation of new employment areas and infrastructure is therefore outside its scope. However the Village Design Guide does capture the community's aspiration for sustaining local business, in Chapter 3. The SCDC Local Plan and the emerging Fulbourn Neighbourhood Plan contain specific policies on employment and sustaining local services.

<u>Tree species choice</u>: As the presence of mature trees has been identified as a specific characteristic of Fulbourn, it is reasonable to ensure that climate change is considered in species choice.

<u>Green infrastructure</u>: this is covered in chapters 5, 6, and 10 specifically which promote the role of green infrastructure in sustaining and developing the character of the village

<u>Secured by Design:</u> this is not specifically a Caldecote issue and therefore not required to be covered in the Village Design Guide.

Space for formal and informal physical activity: the role of off-

	road routes and landscapes in new developments, in providing space for physical activity can be highlighted.			
Proposed Modifications	Chapter 5 to add ensure climate change is mentioned in species selection.			
	Chapter 10 to highlight use of landscapes for sport and physical activity.			

2. About Fulbourn						
Representations	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1		
Received						
Main Issues in	Support - None	9				
reps						
67810	Object - None					
	Comment					
	On page 4, the population of Fulbourn is noted as 4,673 and this figure is posted underneath 4 schematic maps of the village demonstrating its growth from 1885 to 2010. This is misleading because that number includes those residing in the parish but living at the Beechwoods estate on the edge of Cherry Hinton but that estate is not shown on the maps.					
Council's	The village evolution diagrams should indeed include the					
Assessment	Beechwoods area.					
Proposed	Maps to be mod	Maps to be modified to show Beechwoods area.				
Modifications						

3. Community Input				
Representations	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0
Received				

4. Character Areas							
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1			
Main Issues in	Support - None	9					
reps							
67802	Object - None						
	area is l appraisa than pro questior guide fu recomm is carrie visual to	Comment     Countryside Properties: Comments on each character area is limited and we believe a more robust and detailed appraisal of each should be carried out. Otherwise, other than providing a general overview of each area, we would question the purpose of this section and how it helps to guide future development. We would therefore recommend a more robust characterisation of each area is carried out by perhaps using annotated maps as a					
Council's	The length and clarity of the Village Design Guide was developed						
Assessment			is felt that keeping	g the			
	characterisation	n succinct was a	priority.				

Proposed	None
Modifications	

5. A close relationship with the countryside							
Representations	Support: 1 Object: 2 Comment: 3 Total: 7						
Received		•					
Main Issues in	Support						
reps	Fulbourn Parish Council fully supports the Village Design						
67818	Guide to ensure any new development fits in with the						
67816	village and any design compliments the local area.						
67815			ep its rural views				
67813	important to plant more grees. Fulbourn has many unique						
67812	features and is surrounded by agricultural fields. Any new						
67803	development on the village periphery should give priority						
67800	to landscape designs to protect and enhance these views.						
	Object						
	<ul> <li>Homes England: The grant of outline planning permission is to be issued very shortly, the Design Guide needs to acknowledge that there will be approved parameter plans which refer to the development areas, land uses and density and height parameters.</li> <li>Homes England: No clear justification for the designation of important local landmark on Figure 16 in reference to the Ida Darwin site. We accordingly suggest that Figure 16 should be amended to remove the designation on the Ida Darwin site</li> <li>Homes England: Paragraph 5.4 of the Village Design Statement states 'there should be limited night light pollution; night darkness should be increased, especially at the fringes of the built area and within the green belt.' To suggest that areas such as this will become darker at night time is unrealistic having regards to the site planning status and this needs to be acknowledged within this Statement.</li> </ul>						
	Comment						
	• Countryside UK: Concerned that the key views referenced are not supported by a thorough views assessment which include photographs of each view from locations where the public are likely to see them. Apart from the Haggis Gap viewpoint, not convinced the other views out of the villages provide 'important' views of the countryside. Fleeting or partial views cannot be considered as important if they can only be appreciated from certain specific locations. Concerns raised with the justification for identifying the field south of the railway line and west of Station Road as having a sensitive visual relationship with the village. The field is a large open site with limited visual relationship with the village from specific locations within the public						

	<ul> <li>British Horse Society: Opportunities to create new Bridleways and Restricted Byways, the most inclusive form of public access, should be an aim of the guide. The BHS have identified 4 paths, which if upgraded, would provide essential links to other villages and help to join up the fragmented bridleway network.</li> </ul>
Council's	Welcome support
Assessment	Parameters from potential outline consent: It is not appropriate for the Village Design Guide to reference potential outline planning consents.
	Ida Darwin site: On consideration it is agreed that the Ida Darwin site does not provide a significant landmark in long range views.
	Night darkness: it is agreed that night darkness is an important factor in sustaining the rural identity of Fulbourn but that increasing darkness in areas allocated for development, may be unrealistic.
	Key views and fields with a sensitive visual relationship to the village: Key views are identified due to their contribution to the village character and their value to the local community. They are identified in the Village Design Guide to ensure future development around these views is appropriate in response. All the identified views are clearly visible from the public realm and provide a visual link from the village interior to areas outside the village development framework. The fields identified as having a sensitive visual relationship are clearly visible from the village interior and lie outside the village development framework.
	Bridleways: It is agreed that more detail could be given on equestrian provision.
Proposed	Figure 16 – remove landmark annotation for Ida Darwin site
Modifications	Amend 5.4 to clarify that night darkness may not always be increased.
	Amend 5.6 to clarify why specific fields have a sensitive visual relationship to the village
	Bridleway and equestrian provision to be shown on maps

6. A legacy of majestic trees					
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1	
Main Issues in rep	Support - None				
67804	Object - None				
Comment  • Countryside UK - Reference to open countryside seems					

	out of place when purpose of page is to highlight the importance of trees
Council's Assessment	While there is a relationship between the countryside nature and the trees in the village, it is agreed that this could be more clearly structured.
Proposed Modifications	Move figs 20 and 24 to chapter 5

7. Attractive and safe village streets						
Representations	Support: 0   Object: 1   Comment: 3   Total: 4					
Received	Support: 0 Support: 0 Fotal: 4					
Main Issues in	Support - Non	<b>e</b>		1		
rep						
67805	Object					
67796	_	r more footways.	Disagree tht foot	ways should		
67721	often be	on one side only	<i>'</i> .	·		
67712						
	Comment					
		ian safety at junc	tion of High Stree	et, Church		
		d Manor Walk				
		<b>/side UK:</b> Severa				
		areas have beer				
	,	udit been carried rowth of the villag		•		
		e in vehicle move				
	should make provision for this by providing guidance on ways to accommodate and mitigate the impact. We					
	recommend 7.2 should be removed or replaced with a					
	more balanced statement which acknowledges the need					
	to accommodate all modes of transports in a safe and					
	convenient manner.					
		uld you protect th				
		onsible for the ma	aintenance? Wou	ld this be also		
	to encou	urage wildlife?				
Councillo	Footways: The existing lanes and streets are often narrow and					
Council's Assessment	the guidance aims to balance the requirements of pedestrians,					
Assessifient	cyclists and vehicle traffic without increasing street widths to an					
	extent that is out of character with the village. Footways on both					
	sides can be appropriate in many locations.					
	Sides can be appropriate in many locations.					
	Pedestrian safety: this area is highlighted as a priority area for					
	mitigation of traffic impacts on the map.					
		ne priorities for m				
		safety audits as				
		ut represent priori				
		agement process				
		her representations				
		ses and response				
	must of course be appropriately designed for anticipated traffic movements but should also recognise the need to prioritise					
L	I movements but	SHOULD HOOF	Silioo tilo liood te	, p.1011100		

	sustainable transport modes and to anticipate likely shifts in transport modal behaviours in the future.  Shrubbery: Additonal planting will provide a wildlife benefit. The maintenance of planting is the responsibility of the property owner and the Village Design Guide cannot prescribe
	maintenance structures.
Proposed	Amend 7.2 to clarify the design approach to calming vehicle
Modifications	movements

8. An improved H	High Street at the heart of the village				
Representations	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 3	
Received					
Main Issues in	Support				
reps 67806 67799 67711	• In particular I support 8.2 and 8.3. Pedestrians, including those with baby buggies, cyclists and mobility scooter users need to have priority and feel safe. High street 'gateways' and a distinctive tiled road surface to indicate a shared space could encourage vehicles to slow right down. The road surface should not be uncomfortable for cyclists though. There are many leisure and sports riders who come through the village as well as local people. Wider pavements would seem to make more off-street parking provision essential and I question where this might be.				
	Comment  Fulbourn would be a tranquil and picturesque place to live were it not for traffic and parked vehicles. Much could be done to make the High Street area safer, quieter and more attractive as suggested in Chapter 8. 'The winding loop formed by Cow Lane and Pierce Lane' (Chapter 7) needs to be considered in its entirety so that improvement in one area do not have a detrimental effect in other areas.  Countryside UK: Whilst we agree with the intention of this page, we have a concern with the green arrows — "important views of the countryside beyond" — seem to be out of place on Fig.30. The countryside cannot be seen from the starting location of the arrows. Also, this page is meant to focus on the high street and therefore as the countryside is not visible from the High Street, these				
Council's Assessment	Welcome suppo	ddressed by the o	guidance in this c	hapter, and	
	Views: The view from the Chantr	v identified is not y which is directl	from the High Str y adjacent and pa ws can be seen f	rt of the High	

	points of the arrows.
Proposed	No modifications are proposed.
Modifications	

9. Appropriate change to enhance the existing village				
				T
Representations	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0
Received				
Main Issues in	Support			
<b>reps</b> 67725	Woodland Trust: We are pleased to see that planting of new trees and shrubs is considered to be an appropriate change to enhance the existing village. It is also important to ensure wherever possible that existing trees and hedges are protected and replaced if they have to be removed for any reason.  Object - None  Comment			
Council's	Welcome suppo	ort		
Assessment	prohibit 3 storey	/ buildings but the	e character analy	sis clearly
Proposed Modifications				

10. Integrating lar	ger developmer			
Representations	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 7	Total: 8
Received		-		
Main Issues in	Support			
reps	<ul> <li>I'm favor</li> </ul>	urably impressed	with the whole d	esign guide: the
68305	Teversh	am Road specific	guidance seems	sespecially
68304	relevant			
67817				
67814	Object - None			
67807	_			
67792	Comment			
67788	In new c	levelopment area	as paved areas, a	part from
67724	surfaced roads such as driveways, parking areas etc,			
	should, as far as possible, be made with porous surfaces.			
	This would help sustainable drainage and and flooding.			
	Paragraph 10.19 could be extended or a further			
	paragraph added to cover this point.			
	Woodland Trust: The Woodland Trust would like to see			
	planting of trees (whether street trees, trees on areas of			
	greensp	ace or small cop	ses) considered a	as part of any
			areá. We would b	
	consulte	ed by the district o	council, parish cou	uncil or housing
	develope	ers regarding any	/ such opportuniti	es. There is
		0 0	ting of trees can	
	pleasant, healthy environments for local residents, as well			
			nd helping to tack	
			such as climate c	
				<del></del>

- Homes England: Paragraph 10.3 of the Village Design Statement states that 'there should be open views across the whole site towards countryside and local landmarks. With detailed proposals anticipated in due course, the built form will ultimately have a significant impact upon the landscape. It may be that the wording is seeking to achieve views out to the countryside from the interior of the larger development sites such as along a 'boulevard' street. This will of course be a matter for detailed design but we consider that the Statement should clarify this Statement in more detail.
- Cambridgeshire LLFA welcome the consideration of using flood risk management measures to promote biodiversity in the Village Design Guide SPD. It should further promote sustainable drainage techniques (SuDS).
- Countryside UK: With regards to sections 10, 11, and 12, we are of the view that this part of the guide is overly prescriptive, particularly pages 15 and 18. We would recommend that these pages are removed and the other pages revisited by toning down the language used. Otherwise, we are concerned that the guide would be contrary to paragraph 126 of the NPPF states that "...level of detail and degree of prescription should be tailored to the circumstances in each place, and should allow a suitable degree of variety where this would be justified". We understand the need to achieve and meet high design expectations but this should be allowed to develop through a design process that is context led. Fig.39.a – how can open views over fields be retained if it has development on it? Does this mean over undeveloped fields? We recommend this is removed as it does not.

Fig.39.e – all street to be designed as green and pedestrian centred – what does this mean? New streets will need to accommodate vehicles. Whilst some part of developments may be able to accommodate shared surface area, the main routes will need to ensure they meet highway standards in terms of pavement and road widths, street lighting, etc...

The Building Design section is overly prescriptive. 10.9/10.10 - The village has 3 storey forms including 3 storey blocks of flats – Windmill Lane and Cambridge Road contains several three storey dwellings and three storey blocks of flats on prominent locations. Whilst this may not be a style or form that is preferred in the village, they are existing feature which add to the variety of the built form. 10.11 – Setting building heights based upon the height of trees is not an appropriate way to maintain the setting the village. Trees form an important part of any village and contribute toward soften development. However, they should not be used to justify maximum heights.

10.12 – "Buildings should not be repetitive" is a very general requirement. There are many examples of

- contemporary housing developments which use this to good effect, and so should be ruled out.
- BPHA: The approach taken to density will affect the delivery of certain types of affordable housing. In relation to existing stock there needs to be a consideration of wider issues such as External Wall Insulation and the acceptability of such changes.

At para 10.13 reference is made to self build reference in addition the reference should be extended to include custom build

# Council's Assessment

Welcome support

<u>Tree planting:</u> Tree planting is encouraged through the guidance in points 10.5.

<u>SuDS/porous surfaces</u>: this is mentioned in 10.19 and specific guidance on this is contained within other policies of the SCDC 2018 Local Plan, as well as in the draft Sustainable Design and Construction SPD. This can be cross referenced in the VDG text.

Overly prescriptive guidance: The Village Design Guide is not overly prescriptive; the guidance is tailored to the specific character of Fulbourn and is evidenced through the inclusion of analysis and photographs as well as the community feedback. The Guide encourages a context led design approach as mentioned in the representation, and new and innovative design approaches are shown as appropriate design responses in the illustrations.

<u>Open views</u>: Open views are important through new development to link it to both existing parts of the village, and to the countryside.

<u>Street design</u>: creating green and pedestrian centred streets is compatible with meeting highways standards, it does not mean shared surfaces by default and the Village Design Guide does not suggest this.

<u>3 storey buildings</u>: the Village Design guide does not attempt to prohibit 3 storey buildings but the character analysis clearly demonstrates that they are not characteristic of the village. The guidance is clear that here storey building forms can be used but should be carefully sited and designed.

<u>Trees</u>: the setting of mature trees is identified as a key aspect of Fulbourn's character and it is appropriate that buildings be designed to sustain this character.

Repetitive buildings: While there are many examples of historic and recent developments which use repetitive house types, these are not characteristically present in Fulbourn. It is appropriate to discourage the use of repetitive house types.

Density: No additional guidance on density in numerical terms is

	given in the Village Design Guide. Sustainable densities that support affordable housing delivery are compatible with the design guidance proposed.  Custom build: It is agreed that custom build is a valuable component of housing mix alongside self build.
Proposed Modifications	Add further mention of SuDS to 10.9
Mounications	Clarify 10.3 and caption to Fig 39a to make it clear that open views should be created through new development but that this does not mean across the whole site.
	Amend 10.11 to clarify that mature, not immature, trees are meant to form the height comparison.
	Add reference to custom build

11. Appropriate scale, materials and details				
Representations	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1
Received				
Main Issues in	Support - None	•		
reps				
67808	Object - None			
	Comment			
	respond to identit are cond material selective village p arranger	s to the local con fy the variety of p cerned that the im s that make Fulbe e and do not refle articularly in term ments, roof desig	portant that new of text and the guidensitive features an ages in the 'Detaourn special' sected the overall varions of fenestration and form etc	e can be used nd styles. We ails and ion are very ety in the design and
Council's	The photographs illustrating this section include a large variety of			
Assessment	approaches and materials, and the guidance does not specify			
	that only designs that match these details is acceptable. See			
_		<u>o representations</u>	s to chapter 10.	
Proposed	No modification	s are proposed.		
Modifications				

12. Development that is inappropriate for Fulbourn				
Representations Received	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 2
Main Issues in 68303	Support - None	e		
67809	Object - None			
	Comment			
	Countryside UK: This page is overly prescriptive and make very specific requirements to design, scale and features rather than being positioned as preferences. Most of the text and images on this page appear to be a wish list of requirements which could be argued stifles innovation and creativity. Clearly, the guide should not be			

	fixing specific design requirements as its purpose is to guide future development not dictate it.  • Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust: At section 12 of the Village Design Guide, reference is made to "development that is inappropriate for Fulboum". Whilst we fully understand the intentions behind this part of the guide but it is important that buildings of a specific style and character and which are needed for healthcare reasons may well come forward on the Fulbourn Hospital site which because of their particular operational need may well not fall within the traditional building types as it relates to the more residential areas of Fulbourn.
Council's Assessment	Overly prescriptive: The guidance and example photographs on this page illustrate design approaching that, while potentially appropriate in a different context, are not appropriate within the Fulbourn setting. Chapter 11 shows many innovative design approaches that are considered appropriate. Many of the guidance points promote widely accepted good practice in design and are intended as a reminder to scheme developers that detail matters and that rural areas require specifically rural approaches. See also response to representations to chapter 10.  Hospital site: The design guidance consists of principles and

applies to non-residential as well as residential development. Good design should derive from an understanding of the

building's function (brief) as well as its context and this guidance

Review photographs to ensure consistency and clarity without

# 6. Schedule of changes to the SPD

#### Chapter 2

Proposed

**Modifications** 

Include the Beechwoods area in the schematic maps of the village development

is consistent with these principles.

excessive prescription.

## Chapter 3

Second column, add 'scope of' between 'the' and 'Village'

## Chapter 4

Add reference to mix of uses in the High Street character area.

Add '(irregular building line)' after 'Informal alignment of the frontages'.

Replace 'They introduced' with 'Estate development introduced for the first time'

# Chapter 5

Captions to photographs amended to include exact locations.

Page 8 para 2 - delete "something that is already being diminished with more recent development on the Fulbourn hospital site and is essential to re-establish and preserve for the future.' and replace with 'recent developments have reduced this in some areas and new development should seek to avoid this in the future.'

5.4: delete 'night darkness should be increased'

5.6: Amend the wording to 'Specific fields identified in figure 16 contribute to the characteristic short distance views from inside the village to open landscape, and therefore

have a sensitive visual relationship with the village. These views should be sustained and enhanced.'

5.8: Add 'including bridleways' after 'routes'. Remove extra space between 'from' and 'the' Figs 13 and 16: Add bridleways to the maps and show the suggestions from the British Horse Society for footpaths that could be upgraded to bridleways. Key to be clarified.

## Chapter 6

Figs 20 and 24: move to chapter 5

Add additional guidance point: 'The selection of tree species should consider climate change and ash dieback, and ensure that new trees are selected to be resilient for the long term.'

# Chapter 7

7.2: Amend to say 'Carriageway widths should be reduced where possible, to calm traffic while accommodating anticipated vehicle movements and avoiding informal parking on pavements.'

Fig 28 and 29 – approximate dimensions added.

# **Chapter 8**

Fig 30 – views cross referenced to fig 18

## Chapter 10

10.1: delete 'in all cases'

10.3: Amend to say 'Site planning should incorporate open views from and through the interior of the site towards the countryside and local landmarks.'

10.9: Add a sentence at the end: 'Hard surfaced areas should use permeable materials to assist with sustainable drainage and control surface water flooding.'

10.11: add 'mature' before 'trees'

10.13: add 'and custom-build' after 'self-build'

10.14: Amend wording to 'Guidance on materials and detailing that are appropriate to the village context can be foundin chapter 11.'

Fig 45 and 46 – key added.

## Chapter 11

Replace fig 69 with a better illustration for the point being made.

## 7. List of consultees

3CT (Haverhill Community Transport) A2 Dominion Housing Group

Abbotsley Parish Council Abellio Greater Anglia

Abington Pigotts Parish Council

Accent Nene Housing Society Limited Advisory Council for the Education of

Gypsy and other Travellers (ACERT)

**Affinity Water** 

Age UK Cambridgeshire
Airport Operators Association

Anglia Ruskin University - Cambridge

Campus

Anglian Water Services Limited

Arrington Parish Council Ashdon Parish Council Ashwell Parish Council Babraham Parish Council

Balsham Parish Council
Bar Hill Parish Council

Barley Parish Council

Barrington Parish Council

Barrington Parish Council

Bartlow Parish Council
Barton Parish Council

Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish

Council

**Bedford Borough Council** 

Bedfordshire and River Ivel Internal

Drainage Board

Bedfordshire Pilgrims Housing Association

Bidwells

Bluntisham Parish Council Bottisham Parish Council

Bourn Parish Council Bovis Homes (South East) Boxworth Parish Council Braintree District Council Brinkley Parish Council

**British Gas** 

British Horse Society British Romany Union

**Building Research Establishment** 

Caldecote Parish Council Cam Valley Forum

Cambourne Parish Council

Cambridge and County Developments (formerly Cambridge Housing Society)

Cambridge Area Bus Users

Cambridge Campaign for Better Transport Cambridge Council for Voluntary Service

Cambridge Cycling Campaign

Cambridge Dial a Ride

Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum Cambridge Federation of Tenants Leaseholders and Residents Assoc. Cambridge Forum of Disabled People

Cambridge GET Group
Cambridge Inter-Faith Group

Cambridge Past Present and Future Cambridge Peterborough and South

Lincolnshire (CPSL) Mind

Cambridge Race Equality & Diversity

Service

Cambridge Regional College

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS

**Foundation Trust** 

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS

**Foundation Trust** 

Cambridge Water (South Staffs Water) Cambridge Women's Resource Centre

(CWRC)

Cambridgeshire & Peterborough

**Combined Authority** 

Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS

Foundation Trust Cambridgeshire ACRE

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Association of Local Councils

Cambridgeshire Chamber of Commerce Cambridgeshire Community Foundation

Cambridgeshire Constabulary
Cambridgeshire County Council
Cambridgeshire Ecumenical Council
Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service
Cambridgeshire Football Association
Cambridgeshire Local Access Forum
Cambs Fire Service (Operational Support

Directorate)

Campaign to Protect Rural England

(CPRE)
Care Network

Carlton Cum Willingham Parish Council

Castle Camps Parish Council Caxton Parish Council Central Bedfordshire Council

Centre 33

Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the

Univ. of Cambridge
Childerley Parish Council
Chrishall Parish Council
Church Commissioners
Circle Anglia Housing Trust
Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)
Clarion Housing Group
Comberton Parish Council

Confederation of British Industry - East of

**England** 

Conington Parish Council Conservators of the River Cam Cottenham Parish Council

Country Land & Business Association

Countryside Properties Plc Croydon Parish Council DB Schenker Rail (UK) Defence Lands Ops North

Department for Business Innovation and

Skills

Department for Transport

Department of Environment, Food and

Rural Affairs

Design Council CABE
Disability Cambridgeshire
Dry Drayton Parish Council
Dunton Parish Council
Duxford Parish Council
Earith Parish Council

East Cambridgeshire District Council

**Education Funding Agency** 

EE

Elmdon and Wendon Lofts Parish Council

Elsworth Parish Council Eltisley Parish Council Ely Diocesan Board

Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards

Environment Agency

EON UK plc

Essex County Council Everton Parish Council

Eynesbury Hardwicke Parish Council Federation of Small Businesses Fen Ditton Parish Council Fen Drayton Parish Council Fenland District Council Fenstanton Parish Council

Fields in Trust Flagship Homes Flagship Homes

Forest Heath District Council Forestry Commission England Fowlmere Parish Council Foxton Parish Council

Freight Transport Association

Friends of the Earth

Friends, Families and Travellers

Community Base Fulbourn Parish Council Gallagher Estates Girton Parish Council

Godmanchester Town Council Grantchester Parish Council Graveley Parish Council Great Abington Parish Council

Great and Little Chishill Parish Council Great and Little Eversden Parish Council

Great Bradley Parish Council
Great Chesterford Parish Council
Great Gransden Parish Council
Great Ouse Boating Association
Great Shelford Parish Council
Great Thurlow Parish Council
Great Wilbraham Parish Council
Greater Cambridge Partnership
Guilden Morden Parish Council
Haddenham Parish Council
Hadstock Parish Council
Hardwick Parish Council
Harlton Parish Council
Harston Parish Council

Hastoe Housing Association Hatley Parish Council Hauxton Parish Council Haverhill Town Council

Haslingfield Parish Council

Hazardous Installations Inspectorate

Health and Safety Executive Helions Bumpstead Parish Council Hertfordshire County Council

Heydon Parish Council Highways England

Hildersham Parish Council Hilton Parish Council Hinxton Parish Council

Histon & Impington Parish Council

Historic England

Holywell-cum-Needingworth Parish

Council

Home Builders Federation

Homes and Communities Agency

Horningsea Parish Council
Horseheath Parish Council
Hundred Houses Society Limited
Huntingdonshire Association for
Community Transport (HACT)
Huntingdonshire District Council
Hunts Health - Local Commissioning

Group Iceni Homes

Ickleton Parish Council

Institute of Directors - Eastern Branch

**IWM Duxford** 

Kelshall Parish Council

Kier Partnership Homes Limited
King Street Housing Society
Kingston Parish Council
Knapwell Parish Council
Landbeach Parish Council
Linton Parish Council
Littlington Parish Council
Little Abington Parish Council
Little Shelford Parish Council
Little Thurlow Parish Council

Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom

Parish Council

Littlebury Parish Council
Local Nature Partnership
Lode Parish Council
Lolworth Parish Council
Longstanton Parish Council
Longstowe Parish Council

**Luminus Group** 

Marine Management Organisation

Marshall of Cambridge (Holdings) Limited

Melbourn Parish Council Meldreth Parish Council

**MENTER** 

Middle Level Commissioners

Milton Parish Council

National Association of Health Workers

with Travellers National Grid

National House Building Council National Housing Federation National Travellers Action Group

Natural England Network Rail Network Regulation Newton Parish Council

NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough

Clinical Commissioning Group NHS England (Midlands & East)

NHS Property Services Ltd (Midlands &

East)

North Hertfordshire District Council

**Nuthampstead Parish Council** 

Oakington and Westwick Parish Council

Office of Rail and Road

Offord Cluny and Offord Darcy Parish

Council Openreach

Orchard Park Community Council
Ormiston Children's and Family Trust

Orwell Parish Council

Over and Willingham Internal Drainage

**Board** 

Over Parish Council
Pampisford Parish Council

Papworth Everard Parish Council

Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Papworth Saint Agnes Parish Meeting

Paradigm Housing Group

Persimmon Homes East Midlands Limited

Peterborough City Council Planning Inspectorate Post Office Property Potton Town Council

Ramblers' Association [Cambridge Group]

Rampton Parish Council

Renewable UK

Road Haulage Association

Romany Institute Royal Mail

Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

(RSPB)

**Royston Community Transport** 

Royston Town Council

Sanctuary Housing Association

Sawston Parish Council

Scottish and Southern Electricity Group

Shelter

Shingay-cum-Wendy Parish Council

Shudy Camps Parish Council

Skills Funding Agency

Smithy Fen Residents Association South Cambridgeshire District Council South Cambridgeshire Youth Council

Sport England

St Edmundsbury Borough Council

St Ives Town Council

St Neots Rural Parish Council

Stagecoach East

Stapleford Parish Council Steeple Morden Parish Council Stow-cum-Quy Parish Council

Strethall Parish Council Stretham Parish Council Suffolk County Council Sustrans (East of England)

Swaffham Bulbeck Parish Council

Swaffham Prior Parish Council Swavesey Internal Drainage Board

Swavesey Parish Council Tadlow Parish Council Taylor Wimpey East Anglia Teversham Parish Council

The Amusement Catering Equip. Society

(ACES)

The Association of Circus Proprietors
The Association of Independent Showmen

AIS)

The Cambridgeshire Cottage Housing

Society

The camToo Project
The Crown Estate

The Equality and Human Rights

Commission

The Gypsy Council (GCECWCR)

The Kite Trust

The Lawn Tennis Association

The Magog Trust
The National Trust
The Papworth Trust

The Showman's Guild of Great Britain
The Society of Independent Roundabout

**Proprietors** 

The Theatres Trust

The Traveller Law Reform Project

The Traveller Movement
The Varrier Jones Foundation

The Wildlife Trust

Three

Thriplow Parish Council
Toft Parish Council
Toseland Parish Council
Travel for Work Partnership
Traveller Solidarity Network

UK Power Networks

University of Cambridge - Vice

Chancellor's Office

Uttlesford District Council Visit East Anglia Limited

Vodafone and O2

Waresley Parish Council

West Suffolk (Forest Heath and St

Edmundsbury Councils)
West Wratting Parish Council
Weston Colville Parish Council
Whaddon Parish Council
Whippet Coaches Limited
Whittlesford Parish Council

Wicken and Upware Parish Council

Wilburton Parish Council Willingham Parish Council Wimpole Parish Council Withersfield Parish Council Wood Plc Woodland Trust Wrestlingworth and Cockayne Hatley Parish Council Yelling Parish Council